

# Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR) for the year 2016

In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

December 2017



Local Authority Officer	Dave Bass/Claire Braybrook
Department	Environment Protection – Community
Address	Huntingdonshire District Council Pathfinder House St Mary's Street Huntingdon PE29 3TN
Telephone	01480 388 284
E-mail	Claire.braybrook@huntingdonshire.gov.uk
Report Reference number	ASR 2017
Date	December 2017

Approved by	Position
Chris Stopford	Head of Community

## **Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area**

The Environment Act 1995 places a duty on Local Authorities to monitor, assess and take action to improve local air quality under the statutory process of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM). The LAQM system now places greater emphasis on action planning to improve air quality and includes local measures as part of EU reporting requirements, as well as requiring the completion of an air quality Annual Status Report (ASR). This report forms Huntingdonshire District Councils 2017 ASR and is a review of air quality in the district for the year 2016.

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas<sup>1,2</sup>. The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around £16 billion<sup>3</sup>.

This ASR relates to data gathered between 1<sup>st</sup> January and 31<sup>st</sup> December 2016.

## Air Quality in Huntingdonshire

Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) is the only pollutant that currently exceeds the objective level within the district. The main source of NO<sub>2</sub> in Huntingdonshire is from vehicle emissions and this is predominately caused by the A14 and to a lesser extent the A1 that runs straight through the district. However, local traffic within the market towns is also causing some elevated levels.

Huntingdonshire currently has four Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA's).

- 1. Huntingdon,
- 2. St Neots,
- 3. Brampton, and
- 4. A14 Hemingford to Fenstanton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality, May 2013

#### Huntingdonshire District Council

As a whole, the level of  $NO_2$  has fallen in the last five years and is mostly below the annual limit. However, Huntingdonshire is still experiencing a small hotspot which is showing readings above the annual limit and this is mostly linked with the A14.

## **Actions to Improve Air Quality**

The re-routing of the A14 is currently progressing and this will move the road away from large residential areas. Predictions indicate that all areas currently in an AQMA will see their  $NO_2$  and  $PM_{10}$  levels significantly reduce once the scheme has been built. While some areas of the district will increase slightly, predictions have shown that these will all remain below EU limit values. Huntingdonshire District Council took a leading role in securing a satisfactory result for our residents.

Due to consistent compliance of the diffusion tubes within the St Neots AQMA, Huntingdonshire District Council is considering revoking the AQMA. A detailed modelling assessment of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations has been undertaken and will be submitted to DEFRA in support of the proposal.

Huntingdonshire District Council has been advised that Highways England are now starting to investigate the improvement of the A428 which runs south of St Neots and directly affects traffic flows within St Neots. Huntingdonshire District Council will continue to liaise with Highways England on assessing the impact of the scheme on St Neots.

## **Conclusions and Priorities**

The re-routed A14 will significantly decrease the pollution levels currently experienced by many residents. Huntingdonshire District Council will continue to liaise with Highways England regarding the progress of this scheme, as well as the proposed upgrade of the A428, to minimise any impact on air quality.

Huntingdonshire is currently a growth area and our main challenge is to ensure that this growth does not cause any exceedances of AQ objectives.

## Local Engagement and How to get Involved

You can help to improve your local air quality by reducing the number of car journeys undertaken, car sharing, using public transport, walking or cycling wherever possible, switching off car engines when stationary, purchasing energy efficient goods, making

#### Huntingdonshire District Council

your home more energy efficient and choosing to purchase a low emission car. There is further information on our website under 'Sustainability and greener living' <u>http://www.huntingdonshire.gov.uk/</u>. The energy savings trust can also provide further advice at <u>http://www.energysavingtrust.org.uk/</u>.

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area	i.
Air Quality in Huntingdonshire	i
Actions to Improve Air Quality	. ii
Conclusions and Priorities	. ii
Local Engagement and How to get Involved	. ii
1 Local Air Quality Management	1
2 Actions to Improve Air Quality	2
2.1 Air Quality Management Areas	2
2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Huntingdonshire	
District Council	4
2.3 PM <sub>2.5</sub> – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or	
Concentrations1	0
3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality	
Objectives and National Compliance1	1
3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken1	1
3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites 1	11
3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites1	12
3.2 Individual Pollutants1	2
3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )1	12
3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )1	13
3.2.3 Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )1	13
Appendix A: Monitoring Results 1	4
Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2016 2	<b>:9</b>
Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring	
Data QA/QC	2
Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs 5	<b>7</b>
Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England	<b>;4</b>
Glossary of Terms	5

## List of Tables

Table 2.1 – Declared Air Quality Management Areas	3
Table 2.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality	8

## Huntingdonshire District Council

Table A.1 - Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites	14
Table A.2 - Details of Non- Automatic Monitoring Sites	15
Table A.3 – Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results	18
Table A.4 – 1-Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results	25
Table A.5 – Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results	25
Table A.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results	27
Table A.7 – PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Results	
Table B.1 – NO <sub>2</sub> Monthly Diffusion Tube Results – 2016	29
Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England	64

## List of Figures

Figure A.1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations	22
Figure A.2 – Trends in Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations	26
Figure A.3 – Trends in Number of 24-Hour Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Results >50µg/m <sup>3</sup>	27
Figure A.4 – Trends in Annual Mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations	28
Figure C.1 - Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment	33
Figure C.2 - PFH DT 1 distance correction calculation	34
Figure C.3 - PFH DT 2 distance correction calculation	34
Figure C.4 - PFH DT 3 distance correction calculation	34
Figure C.5 - Third party QA/QC reports	35
Figure C.6 - AQMS service reports	.41
Figure D.1 - Map indicating location of Automatic NO <sub>2</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> monitor	57
Figure D.2 - Mapvshowing location of Automatic NO <sub>2</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> monitor	58
Figure D.3 - Close up of location of Automatic NO <sub>2</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> monitor	58
Figure D.4 - Map indicating location of non automatic (Diffusion Tube)	
NO <sub>2</sub> monitoring locations	59
Figure D.5 - Huntingdon AQMA Diffusion Tube NO <sub>2</sub> monitoring locations	60
Figure D.6 - St Neots AQMA Diffusion Tube NO <sub>2</sub> monitoring locations	61
Figure D.7 - A14 Fenstanton AQMA Diffusion Tube NO <sub>2</sub> monitoring locations	62
Figure D.8 - Brampton AQMA Diffusion Tube NO <sub>2</sub> monitoring locations	63

## 1 Local Air Quality Management

This report provides an overview of air quality in Huntingdonshire District Council during 2016. It fulfils the requirements of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995) and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where an exceedance is considered likely the local authority must declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. This Annual Status Report (ASR) is an annual requirement showing the strategies employed by Huntingdonshire District Council to improve air quality and any progress that has been made.

The statutory air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in England can be found in Table E. in <u>Appendix E</u>.

## 2 Actions to Improve Air Quality

## 2.1 Air Quality Management Areas

AQMAs are declared when there is an exceedance or likely exceedance of an air quality objective. After declaration, the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 12-18 months setting out measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of compliance with the objectives.

A summary of AQMAs declared by Huntingdonshire District Council can be found in Table 2.1. Further information related to declared or revoked AQMAs, including maps of AQMA boundaries are available online at

<u>http://www.huntingdonshire.gov.uk/environmental-issues/noise-nuisance-</u> <u>pollution/air-quality/</u>. Alternatively maps indicating all monitoring locations relative to these AQMA's are also available in <u>Appendix D</u>.

### Table 2.1 – Declared Air Quality Management Areas

AQMA Name	Date of Declaration	Pollutants and Air Quality	City / Town	One Line Description	Is air quality in the AQMA influenced by roads controlled by	Level of I (ma monitore concentratio of relevar	Exceedance ximum ed/modelled on at a location nt exposure)	Action Plan (Inc. date of publication)
		Objectives			Highways England?	At Declaration	Now	
AQMA Area 1: Huntingdon	16 <sup>th</sup> November 2005 - amended 29 <sup>th</sup> October 2007	NO₂ annual mean	Huntingdon	An area encompassing approximately 2831 domestic properties affected by the A14, A141, B1044, B1514 and Huntingdon Inner Ring Road.	Yes		46ug/m <sup>3</sup> at Pathfinder House (PFH 2)	<u>Cambridgeshire Joint Air</u> Quality Action Plan
AQMA Area 2: St Neots	16 <sup>th</sup> November 2005 - amended 29 <sup>th</sup> October 2007	NO₂ annual mean	St Neots	An area encompassing approximately 115 domestic properties affected by local traffic in the town centre.	No		31ug/m <sup>3</sup> at 8- 10 High Street (St Neots 5)	<u>Cambridgeshire Joint Air</u> Quality Action Plan
AQMA Area 3: Brampton	1 <sup>st</sup> September 2006 - amended 29 <sup>th</sup> October 2007	NO₂ annual mean	Brampton	An area encompassing approximately 82 domestic properties affected by the A14.	Yes		27ug/m <sup>3</sup> at 1 Laws Crescent (Brampton 3)	<u>Cambridgeshire Joint Air</u> Quality Action Plan
AQMA Area 4: Hemingford to Fenstanton	1 <sup>st</sup> September 2006	NO₂ annual mean	Fenstanton	An area encompassing approximately 62 domestic properties affected by the A14.	Yes		31ug/m <sup>3</sup> at Hilton Road (Fenstanton 1)	<u>Cambridgeshire Joint Air</u> Quality Action Plan

B Huntingdonshire District Council confirm the information on UK-Air regarding their AQMA(s) is up to date

## 2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Huntingdonshire District Council

Defra's appraisal of last year's ASR concluded the following:

DEFRA conclusions	Huntingdonshire District Council comments
The ASR report highlights that the proposed re-routing of the A14 is expected to make a significant impact to relieve the Huntingdonshire AQMA's in near proximity to the route of the current A14. We recognise the potential significance of this measure when completed. The joint action plan with neighbouring authorities is also recognised as an example of best practice.	Noted and we are still in discussions regarding a new joint action plan with the rest of Cambridgeshire.
Current monitoring also suggests there is only a single exceedance point within a current AQMA (Huntingdon 2), whilst three other measurements (PFH1,2,3) appear to be outside of the declared Hemingford to Fenstanton AQMA boundary, contrary to the details within Table A2. The measurements also do not represent relevant exposure, and have not been corrected.	The original monitoring locations submitted were incorrect. This has been rectified. PFH 1, 2 & 3 are located within the Huntingdon AQMA and can be seen on the associated map in Appendix D.
Please can the Council verify the positions of these monitoring locations (PHF1,2,3) in relation to the declared AQMA boundary, and provide corrected results for distance.	The original monitoring locations submitted were incorrect so this has been rectified.
Presentation of monitoring results within reports presented to Defra for comparison to objectives requires the application of all relevant correction factors. There is no evidence that the Council has applied	Distance corrections have been applied where necessary in line with good practice.

distance corrections in this report or other recent reports, where monitoring sites are not representative of relevant exposure. Please refer to the procedures for applying this correction to future monitoring results, as described in the latest version of the LAQM Technical Guidance TG(16). Failure to apply the appropriate distance correction factors can lead to a significant over prediction of final concentrations.	
All monitoring sites should be clearly labelled and indexed in relation to location of AQMAs. It is most helpful if maps of monitoring sites are presented within maps showing AQMA boundaries. It is not completely clear if there are still further monitoring locations with exceedances outside of current AQMAs.	These have been included.
The results presented within the ASR suggest that AQMA's 1,2 and 3 may be considered for revocation, whilst the boundary and status of AQMA4 should be reviewed further to the comments above.	Huntingdonshire District Council is currently undertaking modelling of AQMA 2. At present AQMA's 1, 3 & 4 will be reassessed once the A14 has been upgraded unless further resources are provided to allow modelling of AQMA 3 and 4. It is not currently considered a valid use of resources to model AQMA 1 until the A14 has been relocated.
In light of these comments, the Council may wish to consider reviewing the current monitoring strategy, alongside the decisions on revocation, to ensure all locations of relevant exposure have been duly considered.	Huntingdonshire District Council has changed some monitoring locations for future year's assessment.

Huntingdonshire District Council has taken forward a number of direct measures during the current reporting year of 2016 in pursuit of improving local air quality. Details of all measures completed, in progress or planned are set out in Table 2.2.

#### Key completed measures are:

Measurement 1: The A14 upgrade is currently being constructed with an estimated completion date of 2020.

Measurement 2: Implementation of air quality policies in local plan is currently on going.

Measurement 3: Development of an effective freight partnership. Now that the A14 will be moved away from the residential areas it is not expected that freight will cause a significant issue within Huntingdonshire.

Measurement 4: Inclusion of Huntingdonshire in the Quality Bus Partnership. Cambridgeshire County Council has so far not extended the QBP to outside Cambridge.

Measurement 5: The guided bus route is complete and operational.

Measurement 6: Smart traffic lights at St Neots have been installed and are operational.

## Huntingdonshire District Council expects the following measures to be completed over the course of the next reporting year:

It is hoped the Local Plan will steer development towards installing electric car charging points in all new buildings and car parks in order to encourage the use of electrically powered vehicles, in line with National Planning Policy.

A main priority for 2017 for Huntingdonshire District Council was to fill the vacant (Since August 2017) post of Environmental Protection Officer (Air Quality) and repair or replace the faulty automatic monitoring equipment. The new officer took up the post in November and is currently in discussions regarding all of the AQ monitoring equipment.

Huntingdonshire District Council anticipates that the measures stated above and in Table 2.2 will achieve compliance in AQMA 1 Huntingdon, AQMA 2 St Neots and AQMA 4 Hemingford to Fenstanton.

Whilst the measures stated above and in Table 2.2 will help to contribute towards the continued compliance of AQMA 3 Brampton, Huntingdonshire District Council anticipates that further additional measures not yet prescribed may be required in subsequent years, such as a realignment of the A1 duel carriageway and by-passing the village of Brampton, to maintain compliance and enable the revocation of AQMA 3 in Brampton.

## Table 2.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Lead Authority	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA	Progress to Date	Estimated Completion Date	Comments
1	Re-routing of A14 away from settlements	Traffic Management	Strategic highway improvements	Highways England	Current	End of 2016	Monitoring should indicate a reduction	AQMA's 1, 3 & 4	Scheme has been approved	2020	Expected to improve all A14 AQMA's.
2	Implementation of air quality policies in the local plan.	Policy Guidance and Development Control	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	Huntingdonshire District Council	Ongoing	Ongoing	N/A	All	Discussions have occurred with the LPA	On completion of Local Plan	Ongoing
3	Development of an effective freight partnership	Freight and Delivery Management	Other	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	N/A	All	Unknown	Unknown	Now the A14 improvement has been agreed and Highways England have opened communication on improving the A428 it is unknown if an effective fright partnership would have any significant effect. This will be re-evaluated once changes have been monitored.
4	Inclusion of Huntingdonshire in the Quality Bus Partnership	Alternatives to private vehicle use	Other	Cambridgeshire County Council	Ongoing	Unknown	N/A	All	None	None	At present CCC do not consider that it is feasible to run the QBP outside of the city of Cambridge. This is something we will continue to consider.

## Huntingdonshire District Council

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Lead Authority	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA	Progress to Date	Estimated Completion Date	Comments
5	Completion and opening of Cambridgeshire Guided Busway	Transport Planning and Infrastructure	Bus route improvements	Cambridgeshire County Council	Completed	Completed	Unknown	All	Completed	Completed	The guided busway was opened in August 2011 from Cambridge Huntingdon and extended to Peterborough in July 2012.
6	Change to traffic- light system in St Neots High street as specified in the St Neots Markets Town Strategy	Traffic Management	Strategic highway improvements	Cambridgeshire County Council	Completed	Completed	AQ monitoring indicates a reduction	2	Completed 2013	Completed 2013	Modelling now completed to demonstrate AQ limits are being met and HDC to apply to revoke the AQMA

## 2.3 PM<sub>2.5</sub> – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or Concentrations

As detailed in Policy Guidance LAQM.PG16 (Chapter 7), local authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and/or concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less). There is clear evidence that  $PM_{2.5}$  has a significant impact on human health, including premature mortality, allergic reactions, and cardiovascular diseases.

Huntingdonshire District Council is taking the following measures to address PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

- We expect that the upgrade to the A14 which moves the trunk road away from the major residential areas will reduce PM<sub>2.5</sub> significantly.
- In 2014 Huntingdonshire District Council joined with Public Health England and the other Cambridgeshire authorities to develop the transport and health joint strategic needs survey which focused on PM<sub>2.5</sub> from transport, see <u>http://www.cambridgeshireinsight.org.uk/file/2552/download</u>
- Huntingdonshire District Council is intending to review and update the Council's Air Quality Action Plan.
- Liaising with the Local Planning Authority and developers requesting pre-app advice, to ensure air quality mitigation measures are considered for large developments to minimise any impact.
- Advising planning conditions to require a Construction Environmental Management Plan when necessary, in order to control dust.
- HDC have a PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitor and a priority for the new officer is to ensure this is operating and maintained correctly.

## 3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance

## 3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

This section sets out what monitoring has taken place and how it compares with objectives.

Overall the NO<sub>2</sub> results continue to indicate a mostly decreasing trend for both inside and outside the AQMAs; however many of the 2016 results appear to be slightly higher than 2015. This is not just linked to roadside locations but also rural and suburban backgrounds demonstrating the results are slightly variable. The same is true of PM<sub>10</sub>, whilst PM<sub>2.5</sub> results show a slight fall in 2016 compared to 2015.

Huntingdonshire District Council is preparing to submit information to Defra in order to revoke the St Neots AQMA. The air quality monitoring results over the past few years within the other AQMA's have also indicated a reduction in NO<sub>2</sub>, with the AQ objective being met and therefore further consideration will be given to these in order to determine if there is sufficient evidence for their revocation.

## 3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

Huntingdonshire District Council undertook automatic (continuous) monitoring at one site during 2016. Table A.1 in Appendix A shows the details of the site.

National monitoring results are available at <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/interactive-</u> <u>map</u>. Maps showing the location of the monitoring site are provided in <u>Appendix D</u>. Further details on how the monitors are calibrated and how the data has been adjusted are included in <u>Appendix C</u>.

There is some concern regarding the operation of the  $NO_2$  monitor and the accuracy of the results, increasing uncertainty. The new Environmental Protection Officer will be investigating this, and a further update will be provided within the 2017 ASR.

### 3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Huntingdonshire District Council undertook non- automatic (passive) monitoring of  $NO_2$  at 44 sites during 2016. Table A.2 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in <u>Appendix D</u>. Further details on Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) and bias adjustment for the diffusion tubes are included in <u>Appendix C</u>.

## 3.2 Individual Pollutants

The air quality monitoring results presented in this section are, where relevant, adjusted for bias, "annualisation" and distance correction. Further details on adjustments are provided in <u>Appendix C</u>.

### 3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)

Table A.3 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$ .

For diffusion tubes, the full 2016 dataset of monthly mean values is provided in <u>Appendix B</u>.

Table A.4 in Appendix A compares the ratified continuous monitored NO<sub>2</sub> hourly mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of  $200\mu g/m^3$ , not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year.

Both the automatic monitor and diffusion tube network achieved greater than 75% data capture and therefore no annualisation was required.

Table A3 regarding the annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring results, indicates that three diffusion tubes exceeded the AQ objective and a further one was within 10% of it. The three that exceeded (PFH 1 (45.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), PFH 2 (46.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>), and PFH 3 (44.8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)) are all located at Pathfinderhouse in Huntingdon, co-located on the continious AQ monitor, which indicated a level of 39.4  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. As discussed earlier the continous monitor requires further investigation to check its operation. The diffusion tube within 10% of the AQ objective was located in Goerge Street, Huntingdon (Huntingdon 3) and had a result of 39.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. This location is at the nearest receptor so no distance calculation was required. The Pathfinder House location is not representative of the nearest receptor and therefore a distance calculation was undertaken utilising the Defra calculator, the results of which are

shown in table B1. The calculations and additional information regarding this can be found in <u>Appendix C</u>.

There were no annual means greater than 60  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, indicating that an exceedance of the 1-hour mean objective was unlikely. The 3 diffusion tube exceedances were at a location point within an existing AQMA and these can be seen in <u>Appendix D</u>.

## 3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)

Table A.5 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored  $PM_{10}$  annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$ . Figure A.2 demonstrates this in graph format.

Table A.6 and Figure A.3 in Appendix A compare the ratified continuous monitored  $PM_{10}$  daily mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of  $50\mu g/m^3$ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year.

The results indicate that these AQ objectives have been met at the monitoring location.

## 3.2.3 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)

Table A.7 in Appendix A presents the ratified and adjusted monitored  $PM_{2.5}$  annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years. Huntingdonshire District Council has been monitoring  $PM_{2.5}$  since 2014 and each year there has been a slight reduction in the levels measured. This is demonstrated in Figure A.4.

## **Appendix A: Monitoring Results**

#### Table A.1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Monitoring Technique	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) <sup>(2)</sup>	Inlet Height (m)
					NO <sub>2</sub>		Chemiluminescent			
PFH	Huntingdon	Roadside	524102	271540	PM <sub>10</sub>	YES	Beta Attenuation	3	7	2.5
					PM <sub>2.5</sub>		Beta Attenuation			

#### Notes:

(1) Om if the monitoring site is at a location of exposure (e.g. installed on the façade of a residential property).

(2) N/A if not applicable.

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m)	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
St Neots 1	The Paddocks	Kerbside	517869	260132	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	22	22	No	3
St Neots 3	71 Avenue Road	Urban Background	518925	260503	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	4	1	No	3
St Neots 4	20 Harland Road	Urban Background	518489	260871	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	3	1	No	3
St Neots 5	8-10 High Street (Post Office)	Kerbside	518323	260263	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	0	1	No	3
St Neots 6	35 High Street (Traffic lights)	Kerbside	518433	260321	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	0	1	No	3
St Neots 7	17 Arundel Crescent	Suburban	518424	258556	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	0	17	No	1.75
St Neots 9	5 Duchess Close	Suburban	516370	259514	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	3	5 (24m to trunk road)	No	3
Southoe 1	2 Lees Lane	Roadside	518714	264308	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	24	2 (14m to trunk road)	No	1.75
Buckden 1	6 Perry Road	Roadside	518981	267370	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	0	12 (10m to trunk road)	No	1.75
Buckden 2	4 High Street (Roundabout)	Roadside	519082	267433	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	0	1 (35m to trunk road)	No	1.75
Buckden 3	34 High Street (shop)	Roadside	519161	267624	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	0	1	No	2
Buckden 4	11 Taylors Lane	Roadside	519197	267955	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	3	1	No	3
Brampton 1	RAF Brampton (Sparrow Close)	Roadside	518981	267370	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	10	0.5	No	3

### Table A.2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Brampton 2	RAF Brampton - Stokemans Way	Roadside	519082	267433	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	10	1.5	No	3
Brampton 3	1 Laws Crescent	Roadside	519161	267624	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	32	2	No	3
Brampton 4	25 Dorling Way	Roadside	519197	267955	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	6	1.5	No	3
Brampton 5	7 Hansell Road	Roadside	518981	267370	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	18	0.5	No	3
Brampton 7	52 Elizabethan Way	Suburban	519874	270948	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	7	1.5	No	3
A1	Grafham Road Cottages	Suburban	519756	269900	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	23	0.5 (40m to trunk road)	No	1.5
Catworth 1	1 Thrapston Road	Rural	508409	274876	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	42	42 (42m to trunk road)	No	3
PFH 1	Pathfinder House	Roadside	524102	271540	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	8	6	Yes	3.6
PFH 2	Pathfinder House	Roadside	524102	271540	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	8	6	Yes	3.6
PFH 3	Pathfinder House	Roadside	524102	271540	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	8	6	Yes	3.6
Huntingdon 1	23 Lodge Close	Suburban	523177	271627	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	3	2	No	3
Huntingdon 2	19 Nursery Road	Kerbside	524198	271949	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	0	1	No	1.75
Huntingdon 3	6 George Street	Kerbside	523661	271802	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	0	1	No	3
Huntingdon 4	1 St Peters Road	Kerbside	523435	272464	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	3	1	No	3
Huntingdon 5	18 Blethan Drive	Roadside	522293	272909	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	3	2	No	3
Huntingdon 6	40 Hartford Road	Roadside	524274	271939	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	4	2	No	3

Huntingdon 7	6 Brampton	Roadside	523/32	271760	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	10	2	No	3
Godmanchester	25 Cambridge Villas	Roadside	525319	270571	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	3	12 (34m to trunk road)	No	3
Wood Green Animal Shelter	Goat enclosure	Rural	526250	268264	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	0	235	No	3
Fenstanton 1	Hilton Road	Roadside	531427	268397	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	20	2 (20m to trunk road)	No	3
Fenstanton 2	20 Conington Road	Roadside	531770	268215	NO <sub>2</sub>	Yes	14	2 (23m to trunk road)	No	3
Fenstanton 3	1 Pear Tree Close	Rural	531063	268063	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	6	1.5	No	3
St Ives 1	2 The Pound	Urban Background	531206	272334	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	5	1	No	3
St lves 2	59 Greenfields	Suburban	530850	270286	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	6	1.5	No	3
Ramsey 1	5 Blenheim Road	Urban Background	528433	284936	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	4	2	No	3
Hilton	The Paddocks	Suburban	528961	266718	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	2.5	3	No	3
Stibbington 1	7 Great North Road	Roadside	508326	298684	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	22	2 (8m to trunk road)	No	3
Offords	233 High Street	Suburban	522086	267508	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	1.5	1.5	No	3
Sawtry 1	81 Fen Lane	Suburban	517440	283443	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	4	2	No	3
Alconbury 1	54 Manor Lane	Roadside	518954	276010	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	6	2	No	3
Alconbury 2	Lords Ways	Suburban	518955	275520	NO <sub>2</sub>	No	10	1	No	3

#### Notes:

(1) 0m if the monitoring site is at a location of exposure (e.g. installed on/adjacent to the façade of a residential property).(2) N/A if not applicable.

## Table A.3 – Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results

01610	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	N	IO <sub>2</sub> Annual Me	ean Concentra	ation (µg/m³) <sup>(</sup>	3)
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Period (%)	Capture 2016 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
PFH	Roadside	Automatic		98%	55.5	45	38.9	32.2	39.4
St Neots 1	Kerbside	Diffusion tube	100	100	22.8	20.6	19.6	20.5	22.1
St Neots 3	Urban Background	Diffusion tube	100	100	18.5	18.7	19.0	16.6	18.3
St Neots 4	Urban Background	Diffusion tube	100	100	15.8	15.4	15.3	14.3	16.8
St Neots 5	Kerbside	Diffusion tube	100	92	35.9	36.8	36.0	31.7	31.3
St Neots 6	Kerbside	Diffusion tube	100	92	35.5	31.0	31.6	28.7	29.6
St Neots 7	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	22.3	21.4	20.3	19.9	20.5
St Neots 9	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	27.9	24.5	23.5	24.5	28.4
Southoe 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	18.5	20.3	19.2	17.4	18.6
Buckden 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	23.7	27.6	26.8	21.2	24.9
Buckden 2	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	23.3	23.8	25.3	25.6	25.8
Buckden 3	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	31.3	32.2	32.2	28.9	29.6
Buckden 4	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	20.0	19.5	19.5	19.4	22.3

Brampton 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	14.3	17.1	14.1	14.4	15.4
Brampton 2	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.8	16.3
Brampton 3	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	26.9	29.4	25.6	22.7	27
Brampton 4	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.8	19.8
Brampton 5	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	92	16.3	18.4	16.9	15.9	17.5
Brampton 7	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.0	17.5
A1	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	17	19.2	18.0	15.7	18.4
Catworth 1	Rural	Diffusion tube	100	100	22.6	21.4	21.7	21.6	18.9
PFH 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	49.3	47.5	49.5	44.2	45.1
PFH 2	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	49	48.8	52.0	44.7	46.1
PFH 3	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	48.5	50.2	52.8	46.6	44.8
Huntingdon 1	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	20.2	21.3	18.5	17.1	19.3
Huntingdon 2	Kerbside	Diffusion tube	100	100	24.4	23.0	22.7	21.0	22.2
Huntingdon 3	Kerbside	Diffusion tube	100	100	44.5	42.9	41.1	40.7	39.9
Huntingdon 4	Kerbside	Diffusion tube	100	100	27.9	27.9	28.9	29.9	28.7
Huntingdon 5	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	83	29.1	29.9	27.0	27.6	26.9
Huntingdon 6	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	26.4	24.6	25.2	23.7	25.2

Huntingdon 7	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.4	34.6
Godmanchester 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	92	24.3	27.9	23.8	22.7	24.8
Wood Green Animal Shelter	Rural	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.4	13.7
Fenstanton 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	35.5	29.5	32.8	31.5	31.2
Fenstanton 2	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	24.5	22.0	22.5	19.9	20
Fenstanton 3	Rural	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.7	13.8
St Ives 1	Urban Background	Diffusion tube	100	92	18.9	17.8	18.7	17.6	18.6
St lves 2	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.3	22.9
Ramsey 1	Urban Background	Diffusion tube	100	100	17.2	17.2	18.0	17.8	19.7
Hilton	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	92	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.9	13.4
Stibbington 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	27.8	26.2	26.5	29.6	28.6
Offords	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	92	N/A	N/A	N/A	20.3	18.8
Sawtry 1	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	19.7	20.3	21.8	20.9	22.3
Alconbury 1	Roadside	Diffusion tube	100	100	21	24.3	21.4	19.9	21.8
Alconbury 2	Suburban	Diffusion tube	100	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.7	15.9

☑ Diffusion tube data has been bias corrected

 $\Box$  Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

□ If applicable, all data has been distance corrected for relevant exposure – See table B1.

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.

NO<sub>2</sub> annual means exceeding 60µg/m<sup>3</sup>, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) Means for diffusion tubes have been corrected for bias. All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

N/A indicates that the diffusion tube was not present in that location during the specified year.

## Figure A.1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations

Please note that the following graphs will be in a different format next year, similar to those for Particulate Matter in the next section.











#### Table A.4 – 1-Hour Mean NO2 Monitoring Results

Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture	Valid Data	NO <sub>2</sub> 1-Hour Means > 200μg/m <sup>3 (3)</sup>						
Site id		Туре	Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2016 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
PFH	Roadside	Automatic		98	3	0	0	0	0		

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective (200µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times/year) are shown in **bold**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 99.8<sup>th</sup> percentile of 1-hour means is provided in brackets.

#### Table A.5 – Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results

Site ID	Site Type	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	Valid Data Capture 2016 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	PM	PM <sub>10</sub> Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m³) <sup>(3)</sup>						
				2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			
PFH	Roadside	Automatic	96.60	31.2	30	20.49	19.34	20.39			

 $\Box$  Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the  $PM_{10}$  annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16, valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.



Figure A.2 – Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Concentrations

#### Table A.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results

Site ID	Site Type Valid Data Capture for Monitoring	Valid Data Capture	PM <sub>10</sub> 24-Hour Means > 50μg/m <sup>3 (3)</sup>						
Site iD	Site Type	Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2016 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
PFH	Roadside		96.60	41	26	6	3	5	

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the  $PM_{10}$  24-hour mean objective (50µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 35 times/year) are shown in **bold**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 90.4<sup>th</sup> percentile of 24-hour means is provided in brackets.

#### Figure A.3 – Trends in Number of 24-Hour Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Results >50µg/m<sup>3</sup>



Site ID	Site Type	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring	Valid Data Capture	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>(3)</sup>							
		Period (%) (7	2016 (%) 🤟	2012	2013	2014	tration (μg/m 2015 12.3	2016			
PFH	Roadside		98			13.9	12.3	11.8			

#### Table A.7 – PM<sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Results

#### Notes:

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16, valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.



#### Figure A.4 – Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations

## **Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2016**

 Table B.1 – NO2 Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 2016

							NO <sub>2</sub> Mea	an Concer	ntrations	(µg/m³)					
														Annual Mea	in
Site ID	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (0.77r) and Annualised	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure ( <sup>2</sup> )
St Neots 1	23.7	29.9	37.4	30.6	26.7	22	21.1	24.1	26.7	26.3	35.9	40.9	28.8	22.1	
St Neots 3	24.4	27.8	30.8	26.8	20.3	15	14.4	15.6	22.1	19.2	31.3	38.7	23.8	18.3	
St Neots 4	31.1	26.1	23.9	19.6	19.5	13	12.8	14.8	21.1	18.3	27.8	33.7	21.8	16.8	
St Neots 5	50.1	41	43	46.6	41.3	32	34.7	31.2		34.5	44.2	47.9	40.6	31.3	
St Neots 6	43.4	39.2	43.7	42.9	36.9	30	30.1	31.4		36.6	40.6	48.4	38.5	29.6	
St Neots 7	28.9	32.6	32.2	28.9	25.2	19	17.7	17.7	27.1	23.8	31.1	35	26.6	20.5	
St Neots 9	49.4	43.5	39.6	39	31.2	28	27.1	29.5	34.4	25.3	46.9	48.4	36.8	28.4	
Southoe 1	29.1	26.6	24.6	28.8	25.9	24	11.3	17.8	22.8	26.1	20.9	31.8	24.2	18.6	
Buckden 1	29.7	33.7	37.6	36.9	34	29	17.8	24	32	37.6	33.5	42.7	32.4	24.9	
Buckden 2	34.5	36.3	39.8	36.7	27.8	24	32.4	33.3	28.8	27.8	38.9	41.4	33.5	25.8	
Buckden 3	44.6	41.3	47.1	34.9	40.1	28	30.3	30.1	39.4	32.4	39.5	53.2	38.4	29.6	
Buckden 4	31.4	42.9	31.3	26.2	23.6	19	30.5	19.7	26.7	23.5	36.3	37.2	29.0	22.3	
Brampton 1	26.3	23.3	18.9	19.7	17.1	11	9.6	12.3	19.7	18.3	27.7	35.5	19.9	15.4	
Brampton 2	32.9	24.6	22.2	20.4	15.2	13	11.7	15	18.2	20.5	30.1	31	21.2	16.3	
Brampton 3	35.4	36.5	48.5	44.4	34.2	27	18	26.1	28.9	38.8	40.6	42.3	35.1	27.0	
Brampton 4	26	29.3	32.5	31.2	22.1	18	12.5	17.5	24.3	27.9	34.6	32.1	25.7	19.8	
----------------	------	------	------	------	------	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------------	------	------
Brampton 5	23.2	28	29.2	21.3	20.5		13.1	14.4	21.1	19.7	27.8	31.5	22.7	17.5	
Brampton 7	29.4	25.9	27.7	21.2	15	15	13.6	16.7	20.7	23.8	30.1	32.9	22.7	17.5	
A1	23.5	24	29.4	27.7	24	20	10.8	15.3	21.7	26.9	29.7	34	23.9	18.4	
Catworth 1	25.3	32.6	20.8	27.6	22.5	15	21.1	22.3	27	16.4	26.5	37.5	24.6	18.9	
PFH 1	58.9	58	60.3	63.8	59.6	57	52	50.7	63.1	54.2	61.5	64	58.6	45.1	42.1
PFH 2	56.4	60.9	66.2	56.3	61.1	53	56.9	53.2	61	55	69.7	68.2	59.8	46.1	43
PFH 3	44.1	60.3	62.4	61.3	56.5	59	56.9	54.7	64.5	50.3	64.1	63.9	58.2	44.8	41.9
Huntingdon 1	26.2	25.3	34.6	30.3	28.1	22	11.6	19.7	21.1	27.6	33.5	21.1	25.1	19.3	
Huntingdon 2	37.3	29.3	31.2	29	30.4	17	24.4	21.2	29.7	22.9	37.5	35.1	28.8	22.2	
Huntingdon 3	59.5	59.6	54.3	52.5	53	41	52.8	43.9	53.7	41.2	58.9	52.1	51.9	39.9	39.9
Huntingdon 4	43.8	38.3	43.1	38.7	31.8	32	27.3	28.4	38.5	32.5	48.1	44.1	37.2	28.7	
Huntingdon 5			35.9	36.9	35.6	26	35.7	29.8	36.9	28.4	40	44.2	35.0	26.9	
Huntingdon 6	48.1	33.8	33.7	34.3	28.2	27	25.6	26.2	32.7	23.6	36.7	43.5	32.8	25.2	
Huntingdon 7	61.7	48.6	41.2	47.5	40.5	33	32.8	38.4	46	31.3	56.1	62.1	44.9	34.6	
Godmanchester	20.4	22.7	40.0		25.0	24	11.0	24.2	20.4	20.2	20	07.0	<b>27 7</b>	24.0	
Wood Green	20.4	33.7	43.0		30.9	31	14.9	24.2	30.4	39.2	- 30	37.3	52.2	24.0	
Animal Shelter	27.2	19.3	19.3	17.4	9.1	9.6	9	9.6	18.6	16.3	25.5	32	17.7	13.7	
Fenstanton 1	48	45.9	38.6	41.1	33.3	31	44.1	38	44.1	28.9	45.5	48.5	40.6	31.2	
Fenstanton 2	35	26.7	27.6	27.8	24.5	16	21.7	20.1	27.6	18.7	30.3	35.2	26.0	20.0	
Fenstanton 3	23.5	17.8	21.5	18.5	12.9	12	10.3	13	18.1	18.4	22.3	26.5	17.9	13.8	
St Ives 1	31.8	27.8	21.3	24	27.8		14.7	16.3	21.1	16.4	30	35.2	24.2	18.6	
St Ives 2	34.2	32.8	33.2	39.3	22.4	23	25.7	21.5	26.8	23.7	34.7	39.3	29.7	22.9	
Ramsey 1	28	26.6	29.5	28.3	26.6	20	15.9	17.4	24.9	23.2	30.3	36.2	25.6	19.7	
Hilton	26.7	20.4	15.7		11.7	11	10	12	15.7	18.7	25.4	23.7	17.4	13.4	
Stibbington 1	53.2	42.1	32.1	40.5	33.3	27	34.2	32.2	39.5	25.2	40.1	47.1	37.2	28.6	
Offords		28.5	26.6	26.7	15.9	19	17	17.7	33.5	23.7	27.5	32.4	24.4	18.8	

Sawtry 1	32.1	30.4	37.1	29.1	32.1	24	16.2	20.4	23.7	27.2	36.4	39.4	29.0	22.3	
Alconbury 1	32.8	30.3	29.6	33.6	30.6	22	15.6	18.3	26.7	29.8	33.3	37	28.3	21.8	
Alconbury 2	25.9	16.9	22.3	24.7	14.7	15	15.5	14.9	26	21.5	22.8	28.4	20.7	15.9	

□ Local bias adjustment factor used

☑ National bias adjustment factor used

□ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.

NO<sub>2</sub> annual means exceeding 60µg/m<sup>3</sup>, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective are shown in **bold and underlined**.

(1) See Appendix C for details on bias adjustment and annualisation.

(2) Distance corrected to nearest relevant public exposure where levels are indicated to be above 36µg/m<sup>3</sup>, in line with good practice (Objective -10% for uncertainty).

## Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

## C.1 Diffusion Tubes:

The Environmental Scientifics Group analyse the nitrogen dioxide tubes for Huntingdonshire District Council at Didcot using the spiking acetone: triethanolamine (50:50) method.

Exposure periods for the diffusion tubes are in line with the recommended Diffusion Tube Monitoring Calendar provided by DEFRA (available at <u>https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/diffusion-tubes/data-entry.html</u>), with the tubes being changed every four or five weeks.

## C.2 Diffusion tube bias adjustment factors:

Diffusion tube values have been multiplied by a bias correction factor of 0.77 gained from the DEFRA LAQM Helpdesk national bias adjustment database (version 09/17 available at <u>https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/bias-adjustment-factors/national-bias.html</u>). The national adjustment figure was utilised due to increased uncertainty in figures obtained by Huntingdonshire District Council's NOx monitor.

The leave in the contract of the transmit of transmit of the strang below in the contract term monitoring period.         The transmit of the contract term monitoring period.         The transmit of the contract term monitoring period.         The transmit of term monitoring period.	National Diffusion Tub	National Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet						Spreadshe	et Ver	sion Numl	oer: 09/17
The LAGM Helpdesk is operated on behalf of Derivand the Dational Physical Laboratory. Diginal patters AECOM and the National Physical Laboratory. Chiginal patters AECOM and Analyses Your Data Chiginal patters AECOM and Analyses Your Data Chiginal patters AECOM and the National Physical Laboratory. Chiginal patters AECOM and Analyses Your Data Chiginal patters AECOM and AECOM and Analyses Your Data Chiginal patters AECOM and AECOM AEC	Follow the steps below <b>in the correct ord</b> Data only apply to tubes exposed monthly a Whenever presenting adjusted data, you sh This spreadhseet will be updated every few	<b>der</b> to show the resu and are not suitable f nould state the adjus months: the factors	lts of <b>relevar</b> or correcting i tment factor u may therefore	ndivid Ised ai Ise su	location studies ual short-term monitoring periods nd the version of the spreadsheet bject to change. This should not discou	urage their i	mmediate use.		This updat LAC	spreadshe ed at the er 2018 <u>M Helpdes</u>	et will be nd of March «Website
Step 1:         Step 2:         Step 3:         Use Townset and the province of the discrete province of the discret province discrete province discrete province discrete	The LAQM Helpdesk is operated on behalf of D partners AECOM and the National Physical Lab	lefra and the Devolved oratory.	Administration	s by Bu	reau Veritas, in conjunction with contract	Spreadsh compiled t	eet maintained by Air Quality C	by the Nationa onsultants Ltd.	l Physic	al Laborato	ry. Original
Select 12 Programment         Select 12 Programent         Select 12 Programment </td <td>Step 1:</td> <td>Step 2:</td> <td>Step 3:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>S</td> <td>tep 4:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Step 1:	Step 2:	Step 3:			S	tep 4:				
If a lateratery is not hann, set was a data full.         Provide a laterateratery is not hann, set was a data full.         Provide a data full.         Provide a data full.         Provide a data full.         Provide a data full.	Select the Laboratory that Analyses Your Tubes from the Drop-Down List	Select the Laboratory that Analyses Your         Select a Preparation         Select a real         Where there is only one study for a chosen combination, you should use the adjustment factor shown           Tubes from the Drop-Down List         Iform the Drop-Down List         Drop-Down List         Where there is only one study for a chosen combination, you should use the adjustment factor shown           Item to the study of th									or shown he foot of
Analysed By <sup>1</sup> Method ty <sup>1</sup> /	lf a laboratory ir notzhoun, uo havo no data for thir laboratory.	ti a proparation mothod ir no schown, wo have no data for thir mothod at thir laboratory.	lf a year ir not rhown, we have no data <sup>2</sup>	lf you l	have your own co-location study then see fi Helpdesk at LAQM	ootnote <sup>4</sup> . If Helpdesk@u	uncertain what to k.bureauveritas.	do then contac com or 0800 032	t the Loc 27953	al Air Quality	Management
ESQ Didcot         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Cambridge City Council         10         43         37         32.6 %         G         0.75           ESQ Didcot         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of Vehrehampton Council         11         53         43         22.7 %         G         0.81           ESQ Didcot         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of Vehrehampton Council         12         44         33         13.5 %         G         0.81           ESQ Didcot         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         B         Gravesham Borough Council         12         40         30         35.1 %         G         0.73           ESQ Didcot         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         12         40         30         35.1 %         G         0.73           ESQ Didcot         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         11         15         12         23.3 %         G         0.80           ESQ Didcot         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medrator Borough Council         11         15         12         23.3 %         G         0.80	Analysed By <sup>1</sup>	Method T. eligenetication, stear- eligenetic provided	Year <sup>5</sup>	Site Type	Local Authority	Length of Study (months )	Diffusion Tube Mean Conc. (Dm) (عورm <sup>1</sup> )	Automatic Monitor Mean Conc. (Cm) (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Bias (B)	Tube Precisio n <sup>e</sup>	Bias Adjustme nt Factor (A) (Cm/Dm)
ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of Wolverhampton Council         12         44         33         13.5%         G         0.888           ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of Wolverhampton Council         11         53         43         22.1%         G         0.81           ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Gravesham Borough Council         112         40         30         35,1%         G         0.73           ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         11         33         23         13.3%         G         0.77           ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         11         33         23         13.3%         G         0.772           ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medmay Council         11         15         12         25.3%         G         0.83           ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medmay Council         12         35         26         36.6%         G         0.73 <td< td=""><td>ESG Didcot</td><td>50% TEA in acetone</td><td>2016</td><td>B</td><td>Cambridge City Council</td><td>10</td><td>49</td><td>37</td><td>32.6%</td><td>G</td><td>0.75</td></td<>	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	B	Cambridge City Council	10	49	37	32.6%	G	0.75
ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of Wolverhampton Council         11         53         43         22.71         G         0.81           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Gravezham Borough Council         12         31         23         33.51         G         0.75           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Gravezham Borough Council         12         40         30         58,15         G         0.75           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horzham District Council         11         33         23         13.34         G         0.88           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horzham District Council         10         34         24         33.41         G         0.80           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Moldstone Borough Council         11         15         12         25.33         G         0.80           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medway Council         12         33         21         11         88.17         G         0.85 </td <td>ESG Didcot</td> <td>50% TEA in acetone</td> <td>2016</td> <td>B</td> <td>City of Wolverhampton Council</td> <td>12</td> <td>44</td> <td>39</td> <td>13.5%</td> <td>G</td> <td>0.88</td>	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	B	City of Wolverhampton Council	12	44	39	13.5%	G	0.88
ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Gravesham Borough Council         12         31         23         33.5%         G         0.75           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Gravesham Borough Council         12         40         30         36.1%         G         0.73           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horzham Diztrict Council         11         33         23         13.3%         G         0.73           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horzham Diztrict Council         11         33         23         13.3%         G         0.86           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Moldrone Borough Council         11         15         12         25.3%         G         0.86           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medray Council         12         43         37         17.3%         G         0.53           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         K         Suffolic Council         12         43         37         17.3%         G         0.65           ESG Didco	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	B	City of Wolverhampton Council	11	53	43	22.7%	G	0.81
ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Gravesham Borough Council         12         40         30         36,1%         G         0.73           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         12         35         27         30,3%         G         0.77           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         11         33         23         133%         G         0.78           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         10         34         24         33.4%         G         0.72           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Morsham District Council         11         15         12         25.3%         G         0.80           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Medway Council         12         43         37         17.3%         G         0.85           ESG Didcot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         K         Suff Yor York Council         3         221         11         88.1%         G         0.75           ESG Di	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	в	Gravesham Borough Council	12	31	23	33.5%	G	0.75
ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         12         35         27         30.3%         G         0.77           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         K         Horsham District Council         11         33         23         13.3%         G         0.88           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsham District Council         10         34         24         33.4%         G         0.80           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medway Council         11         15         12         25.3%         G         0.80           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medway Council         12         35         26         36.6%         G         0.73           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Suff Council         3         21         11         88.1%         G         0.65           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         UB         City of York Council         12         33         25         33.4%         G         0.75           ESG Didcot	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	в	Gravesham Borough Council	12	40	30	36.1%	G	0.73
ESC Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Horzham District Council         11         33         23         13.3%         G         0.86           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horzham District Council         10         94         24         93.4%         G         0.72           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Moidtone Boroge Council         11         15         12         25.3%         G         0.86           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medray Council         12         35         26         36.64         G         0.73           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Medray Council         3         21         11         88.1%         G         0.53           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         K         Suffolic Council         12         23         34.1%         G         0.65           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         33.4%         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	R	Horsham District Council	12	35	27	30.3%	G	0.77
ESC Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Horsban District Council         10         34         24         33.43         G         0.72           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Moldstone Borough Council         11         15         12         25.33         G         0.80           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Medway Council         12         35         26         36.54         G         0.73           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         K         Suffolic Coastal DC         12         43         37         17.33         G         0.53           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Suffolic Coastal DC         12         43         37         17.33         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         3.44         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         43         127         15.12         G         0.66         55         20.15	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	KS	Horsham District Council	11	33	29	13.9%	G	0.88
ESG Didoct         Styr EA in acctone         2016         B         Middway Council         11         15         12         25.3%         G         0.80           ESG Didoct         Styr EA in acctone         2016         R         Medway Council         12         35         26         36.6%         G         0.73           ESG Didoct         Styr EA in acctone         2016         R         Medway Council         3         21         11         88.1%         G         0.83           ESG Didoct         Styr EA in acctone         2016         KS         Strick Costal DC         12         43         37         17.34         G         0.85           ESG Didoct         Styr EA in acctone         2016         LB         City of York Council         12         33         23         34.1%         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         Styr EA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         41         27         51.2%         G         0.66           ESG Didoct         Styr EA in acctone         2016         R         Leed City Council         12         41         27         51.2%         G         0.66           ESG Didoct         Styr EA in accto	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	R	Horsham District Council	10	34	24	39.4%	G	0.72
ESE Didoct         SWTEA in acctone         2016         R         Medway Council         12         35         26         36.64         G         0.73           ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         B         Medway Council         3         21         11         88.1%         G         0.53           ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Suffalle Council         3         21         11         88.1%         G         0.53           ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Suffalle Council         3         22         16         38.6%         G         0.72           ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         33.4%         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         41         27         51.2%         G         0.66           ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Lead City Council         12         57         44         27.6%         0.78           ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         20	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	В	Maidstone Borough Council	11	15	12	25.3%	G	0.80
ESC Didoot         SWTEA in acctone         2016         B         Medway Council         3         21         11         88.1%         G         0.53           ESG Didoot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Suffolk Coastal DC         12         43         37         11.3%         G         0.65           ESG Didoot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         UB         City of York Council         3         22         16         38.6%         G         0.75           ESG Didoot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         33.4%         G         0.75           ESG Didoot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         33.4%         G         0.75           ESG Didoot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         41         27         51.2%         G         0.66           ESG Didoot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leeds City Council         12         57         44         21.6%         0.78           ESG Didoot         50% TEA in acctone	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	R	Medway Council	12	35	26	36.6%	G	0.73
ESE Didoot         SWTEA in acctone         2016         KS         Sufficience of the sector of	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	В	Medway Council	9	21	11	88.1%	G	0.53
ESG Didoct         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         UB         City of yor Acouncil         3         22         16         38.6%         G         0.72           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of yor Council         12         33         23         34.1%         G         0.72           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         33.4%         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         41         27         51.2%         G         0.66           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leads City Council         3         56         55         20.1%         S         0.83           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leads City Council         10         36         30         20.0%         G         0.83           ESG Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Escut Incoluchire Council         10         36         30         20.0%         G         0.83           ESG Didoct	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	KS	Suffolk Coastal DC	12	43	37	17.3%	G	0.85
ESG Dideot         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         23         34,12         G         0.75           ESG Dideot         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         33,42         G         0.75           ESG Dideot         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         33         25         33,42         G         0.75           ESG Dideot         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of York Council         12         41         27         51,22         G         0.66           ESG Dideot         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leede City Council         12         57         44         27.64         S         0.83           ESG Dideot         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City and County Swnasa         3         35         31         12.74         G         0.83           ESG Dideot         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         North East Lincolnshire Council         10         57         42         37.33         G         0.73           ESG Dideot<	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	UB	City of York Council	9	22	16	38.6%	G	0.72
ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of vol Council         12         33         25         33.4%         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of vol Council         12         41         27         51.24         G         0.75           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Leeds City Council         12         41         27         51.24         G         0.66           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leeds City Council         12         57         44         27.65         S         0.76           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leeds City Council         12         57         44         27.65         S         0.78           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leeds City Council         10         36         30         20.0%         G         0.83           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Esat Lincolnehire Council         10         57         42         37.3%         G         0.73           ESG Didoct	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	R	City of York Council	12	39	29	34.1%	G	0.75
ESG Didoot         SOM TEA in acctone         2016         R         City of yoh Council         12         41         27         51.2k         G         0.66           ESG Didoot         SOM TEA in acctone         2016         K         Leade City Council         3         656         55         20.1k         S         0.83           ESG Didoot         SOM TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leade City Council         12         57         44         27.65         S         0.78           ESG Didoot         SOM TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leade City Council         12         57         44         27.65         S         0.78           ESG Didoot         SOM TEA in acctone         2016         R         Cety and County Swanses         3         35         31         12.7k         G         0.83           ESG Didoot         SOM TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Esca Lincolachire Council         10         36         30         20.0k         G         0.83           ESG Didoot         SOM TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Esca Lincolachire Council         11         44         23         52.0k         G         0.656           ESG	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	R	City of York Council	12	33	25	33.42	G	0.75
ESG Didoct         S0X TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Leads City Council         3         66         55         20.1X         S         0.83           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         Leads City Council         12         57         44         27.6X         S         0.83           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         City and County Swansea         3         35         31         12.7X         G         0.83           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Exp Lincolochitre Council         10         36         30         20.0X         G         0.83           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Exp Lincolochitre Council         10         57         42         37.3X         G         0.73           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Exp Lincolochitre Council         11         44         29         52.0X         G         0.66           ESG Didoct         50X TEA in acctone         2016         SU         Reighte and Banctead BC         12         21         20         33.6X         G         0.75 <tr< td=""><td>ESG Didcot</td><td>50% TEA in acetone</td><td>2016</td><td>R</td><td>City of York Council</td><td>12</td><td>41</td><td>27</td><td>51.2%</td><td>G</td><td>0.66</td></tr<>	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	R	City of York Council	12	41	27	51.2%	G	0.66
EXE Didoct         SUR T&A in acctone         2016         R         Least Didoct         12         57         44         27.6%         S         0.78           ESQ Didoct         SUR T&A in acctone         2016         R         City and County Swances         3         35         31         12.7%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         SUR T&A in acctone         2016         R         North Exst Lincolnshire Council         10         36         30         20.0%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         SUR T&A in acctone         2016         R         North Exst Lincolnshire Council         10         36         30         20.0%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         SUR T&A in acctone         2016         R         North Exst Lincolnshire Council         11         44         21.5%         G         0.73           ESQ Didoct         SUR T&A in acctone         2016         SU         Reigste and Bancted BC         12         27         20         33.6%         G         0.75           ESQ Didoct         SUR T&A in acctone         2016         B         Reigste and Bancted BC         12         20         17         20.7%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoc	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	KS	Leeds City Council	3	66	55	20.1%	\$	0.83
ENU Didoct         SUM TAx in acctone         2016         P         City and Uounty Swances         3         35         31         12.1%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Exet Lincolnshire Council         10         36         30         20.0%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Exet Lincolnshire Council         10         57         42         37.3%         G         0.73           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Exet Lincolnshire Council         11         44         29         52.0%         G         0.66           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         North Exet Lincolnshire Council         11         44         29         52.0%         G         0.66           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         B         Reigate and Banctead BC         12         20         17         20.7%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         K         Slough Borough Council         11         442         33         27.6%         G         0.78	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	R	Leeds City Council	12	57	44	27.6%	5	0.18
Exacutados         Durados	ESG Dideot	DUG I EA in acetone	2016	R	Lity and County Swansea	8	35	31	12.7%	<u> </u>	0.83
Law Draced         Durk Ex in acctone         2016         P         Profits Subject Microlither Council         10         51         42         37.3%         G         0.73           ESQ Didot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         North East Lincolathire Council         11         44         23         52.0%         G         0.65           ESQ Didot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         SU         Reigste and Bancted BC         12         27         20         33.6%         G         0.75           ESQ Didot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         B         Reigste and Bancted BC         12         20         17         20.7%         G         0.83           ESQ Didot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         B         Reigste and Bancted BC         12         20         17         20.7%         G         0.83           ESQ Didot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Krischam County Berough Council         11         44         23         27.6%         G         0.83           ESQ Didot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Virexham County Berough Council         11         42         33         27.6%         G         0.32	ESG Dideot	DU4 I EA in acetone	2016	R	North East Lincolnshire Council	10	36	30	20.0%	<u> </u>	0.83
Exact Dideot         Divideot         Divideot         Divideot         Primerina eccone         2016         N         Primerina eccone         11         44         23         32.04         G         0.06         0.06           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         SU         Reigate and Banctead BC         12         27         20         33.64         G         0.75           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Reigate and Banctead BC         12         20         17         20.78         G         0.83           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Slough Borough Council         11         42         33         27.64         G         0.78           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Vrexham County Borough Council         9         20         18         8.24         G         0.32	ESG Dideot	504 I EA in acetone	2016		North East Lincolnshire Council	10	51	42	50.0%	<u> </u>	0.13
Exc Didoct         S0% TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Slog Didoct         12         20         11         20.7%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Slog Bidcot         12         20         11         20.7%         G         0.83           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         KS         Slog Bidcould         11         42         33         27.6%         G         0.78           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Wrextham County Borough Council         11         42         33         27.6%         G         0.78           ESQ Didoct         50% TEA in acctone         2016         R         Wrextham County Borough Council         3         20         18         8.2%         G         0.32	ESG Dideot	50% TEA in accorde	2016	811	Paigate and Banctood BC	12	44 07	20	33.67	6	0.00
ESG Didcot         S0% TEA in sectone         2016         R         Virexham County Berough Council         11         4.2         33         27.64         G         0.03           ESG Didcot         50% TEA in sectone         2016         R         Virexham County Berough Council         9         20         16         8.22         G         0.32	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acctore	2016	B	Beigate and Banstead BC	12	20	17	20.72	6	0.83
ESG Didot 50% TEA in acetone 2016 R Wrexham County Borough Council 3 20 18 8.2% G 0.32	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acctore	2016	KS	Slough Borough Council	11	42	33	27.62	6	0.78
	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016	B	Wrexham County Borough Council		20	18	8.2%	G	0.92
IESG Didcot 150% TEA in acetone 2016 Uverall Factor (38 studies) Use 0.77	ESG Didcot	50% TEA in acetone	2016		Overall Factor <sup>1</sup> (38 studies)					Use	0.77

## Figure C.1: Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment:

## **C.3 Distance correction:**

Correspondance with both Fang Lin and Anthony of the LAQM Helpdesk team clarified that a distance calculation is only required for locations with exceedances over the AQ objective and the inclusion of any other sites within 10% is considered good practice, i.e. any above  $36\mu g/m^3$ . The LAQM NO<sub>2</sub> fall off with distance calculator was utilised, as the following figures demonstrate.

## **C.4 Automatic Monitoring**

Calibration and Service information are attached in figures C.5 and C.6.

B U R E	A U A S	Enter data into the red cells
Step 1 Step 2	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?	7 metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background NO <sub>2</sub> concentration (in µg/m <sup>3</sup> )?	<b>19.96</b> µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean $NO_2$ concentration (in $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> )?	<b>45.1</b> μg/m <sup>3</sup>
Result	The predicted annual mean $NO_2$ concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) at your receptor	<b>42.1</b> μg/m <sup>3</sup>

## Figure C.2: PFH DT 1 distance correction calculation:

## Figure C.3: PFH DT 2 distance correction calculation:

B U R E V E R I T	NU A S	Enter da	Air Quality
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?		7 metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?		10 metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background $NO_2$ concentration (in $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> )?		<b>19.96</b> µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean NO <sub>2</sub> concentration (in $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> )?		<b>46.1</b> μg/m <sup>3</sup>
Result	The predicted annual mean $NO_2$ concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) at your receptor		<b>43.0</b> μg/m <sup>3</sup>

## Figure C.4: PFH DT 3 distance correction calculation:

B U R E V E R I T	A U A S	Enter dat	Air Quality
Step 1	How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)?		7 metres
Step 2	How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)?		10 metres
Step 3	What is the local annual mean background $NO_2$ concentration (in $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> )?		<b>19.96</b> µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Step 4	What is your measured annual mean $NO_2$ concentration (in µg/m <sup>3</sup> )?		<b>44.8</b> µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Result	The predicted annual mean $NO_2$ concentration (in $\mu g/m^3$ ) at your receptor		<b>41.9</b> μg/m <sup>3</sup>

## Figure C.5: Third party QA/QC reports:

					Page 1 of 3
Approved Signatories:			S. Eaton D Hector N Rand		B Stacey S Stratton A Madle
Signed: Date of issue:	N. P.	nd			
Certificate Number:	03406				
Customer Name and Address	:	Dave Bass Huntingdons Pathfinder H St Mary's Str Huntingdon Cambridgesh PE29 3TN	chire District Cour louse reet nire	cil	
Description:		Calibration Huntingdon	factors for the a Pathfinder Hou	air monitorin Ise	g station at
Ricardo Energy & Environmer	it ID:	ED2064508	14		
The reported expanded uncertaintic confidence of approximately 95% T This certificate is issued in accordan provides traceability of measureme Laboratory or other recognised nati prior written approval of the issuing	is are based on a stand to uncertainty evaluations with the laboratory at to the SI system of a onal metrology institut (laboratory	derd uncertainty m lon has been carris accreditation req units and/or to uni bes. This certificate	nuitiplied by a coverage ed out in accordance wit unements of the United ts of measurement real e may not be reproduce	factor k=2 providing h UKAS requirement Kingdom Accredita and at the National d other than in full,	t is level of the tion Service. It Physical except with the
Ricardo Energy & Environment Head Office Gemini Building, Permi Avenue, Hanwell, Oxon OX11 DOR Tel: +44 (0)1235 753 000	Registered office Shorsham Technics Shorsham-by-Sea West Sussex BN43 5/G Registered in Engl 08225254 VAT Registration 1 GB 212 8365 24	el Centre land No. No.		1	ee.ricardo.com



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION



Page 2 of 3

Date of issue:	05 Jul 2016
Certificate Number:	03406
Ricardo Energy & Environment ID:	ED20645084

#### Huntingdon Pathfinder House Date of audit: 06 Jun 2016

Species	Analyser Serial no	Zero Response <sup>1</sup>	Zero uncertainty pob	Calibration Factor <sup>2</sup>	Factor uncertainty %	Converter eff. (%) <sup>3</sup>
NOx	426608503	0.7	2.5	0.9563	3.5	96.3
NO	426608503	-0.1	2.5	0.9486	3.5	n/a

#### Huntingdon Pathfinder House Date of audit: 06 Jun 2016

Date of audit:	00 Jun 2010						
	Species	Analyser Serial no	Parameter	Specified Value	Measured Value	Deviation %	Uncertaint y %
	PM <sub>10</sub>	CM09510	Total Flow <sup>4</sup>	16.67	16.43	-1.4	2.25
		077	K0"	0			1.00

## Huntingdon Pathfinder House

Date of addit:	00 Jun 2010						
	Species	Analyser Serial no	Parameter	Specified Value	Measured Value	Deviation %	Uncertainty %
	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	CM09510 083	Total Flow <sup>4</sup> k <sub>o</sub> <sup>5</sup>	16.67 0	16.35	-1.9	2.25 1.00

	ee.ricardo.com



## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION



Page 3 of 3

Date of issue:	05 Jul 2016
Certificate Number:	03406
Ricardo Energy & Environment ID:	ED20645084

The gaseous ambient analysers listed above have been tested for zero response, calibration factor, linearity and converter efficiency (NOx analysers) by documented methods. The factors have been calculated using certified gas standards. The particulate analysers listed above have been tested for sample flow rates and ko(where appropriate) by documented methods. Note that the test results are valid on the day of test only, as analyser drift over time cannot be quantified. All results for gaseous species are given in ppb (parts per billion) mole fractions or ppm (parts per million) mole fractions.

<sup>1</sup> The zero response is the zero reading on the data logging system of the analyser when audit zero gas was introduced to the analysers under test.

<sup>2</sup> The calibration factor is the multiplying factor required to scale the reading on the data logging system of the analyser into reported concentration units (ppb for NO, NOX, SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and ppm for CO. Where 1ppm – 1000ppb). It should be used in conjunction with the zero response. A corrected concentration is calculated using the following equation:

Concentration = F(Output - Zero Response) Where F = Calibration Factor provided on this certificate Output = Reading on the data logging system of the analyser Zero Response = Zero Response provided on this certificate

<sup>9</sup> Converter eff. is the measured efficiency of the NO<sub>2</sub> to NO converter within the oxides of nitrogen analyser under test.

<sup>4</sup> The measured main flow rate (where this is applicable) is the flow rate through the sensor unit of the TEOM particulate analyser under test. The measured aux flow rate (where this is applicable) is the flow rate through the bypass tubing of the TEOM particulate analyser under test. The measured total flow rate is the total flow rate through the particulate analyser under test. Units of flow are Lmin<sup>-1</sup>, reported at prevailing ambient conditions unless otherwise specified. Where flow rates are highlighted in bold, it indicates that measurements were not made at the analyser sample inlet. These measurements therefore may not accurately reflect analyser performance in normal operation.

<sup>6</sup> The calculated k<sub>0</sub> value (specifically for TEOM analysers) is the calculated k<sub>0</sub> spring constant based on tests undertaken with filters of known weight. The % deviation indicates the closeness of the calculated result to the manufacturer's specified value of k<sub>0</sub>.

The calibration results shaded are those that fail within our scope of accreditation, all other results on this certificate are not UKAS accredited, but have been included for completeness.



Richard Hollingsworth Huntingdonshire District Council Pathfinder House St Mary's Street Huntingdon Cambridgeshire PE29 3TN Nick Rand Ricardo Energy & Environment Gemini Building Fermi Avenue Harwell Oxfordshire OX11 0QR, UK

Tel: +44 (0)1235 753 484 E: nick.rand@ricardo.com W:www.airgualityengland.co.uk/ W: ee.ricardo.com

05<sup>th</sup> June 2016 Reference 20645084/R20

## AIR MONITORING QA/QC AUDIT RESULTS

Ambient air monitoring stations: Huntingdon Pathfinder House

Date of Audits: 06th June 2016

Dear Richard,

This report documents the results of quality control audit to Huntingdonshire District Council's Pathfinder House ambient air monitoring station. The work programme is supplied under contract Ricardo Energy & Environment/20045084 for the supply of audit services.

The Huntingdon Pathfinder House monitoring station was audited on 08<sup>th</sup> June 2018. The equipment audits utilise procedures that are applied within the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) national automatic air monitoring network quality control programme.

#### AUDIT RESULTS

The following sections provide details of the audit results on a pollutant basis with recommendations for data management action where appropriate.

#### **Oxides of Nitrogen Analysers**

A major factor governing the analyser's performance is the NO<sub>x</sub> analyser's converter and its ability to reduce the nitrogen dioxide to nitric oxide. The recommended range for instrumentation in the national automatic air monitoring network is in the range of 98% - 102% efficient. Our tests show the converter in this analyser to be 98.3% efficient with NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of 231 ppb. This result has failed the audit pass criteria, our second repeat test showed the converter at 98.6% efficient with an NO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 142 ppb, this also failed the audit pass criteria.

In order for NO<sub>x</sub> data to be BS EN14211 compliant, NO<sub>x</sub> datasets where converter results are less than 98% efficient can be rescaled, provided any impact on data quality is accounted for in the rescaling process. It is the responsibility of the data ratification team to critically assess all evidence including calibrations, audits and equipment support unit reports to quantify this impact. We advised following the audit that you request that your equipment support unit attend for an immediate call out to investigate any underlying reasons for this outlier and to aim to get the converter within the recommended audit pass range.

Ricare	to Energy & Environment, a	Registered office
tradin	g name of Ricardo-AEA Ltd	Shoreham Technical Centre
Head	Office	Shorehem-by-See
Gemin	i Building,	West Sussex
Farmi	Axenue,	BN43 5FG
Oxan OX11	e, DQR	Registered in England No. 08229284
Tel:	+44 (0)1235 753 000	VAT Registration No. GB 144024745



To ensure that the analysers are sampling only ambient air the instruments were leak checked. The results were satisfactory, indicating that the analyser sampling systems were free of significant leaks. The analysers exhibited good steady state responses to both zero and span (calibration) gases with acceptable levels of variation (noise).

The NO<sub>x</sub> analyser sample flow rate was measured using a calibrated flow meter and compared against the analyser's flow rate sensor displayed value to evaluate its accuracy. The analyser's flow rate sensor reading was within 10% of the calibrated flow meter reading and therefore passed this test.

Based on the NO<sub>x</sub> analyser's response to the audit standard and audit zero, the concentrations of the stations NO cylinders have been reassessed. This provides an indication of the on-site standards stability (the gas concentration stabilities). For the purpose of these stability checks, the criteria adopted within the national network, and used here, is that the recalculated concentration should lie within 10% of the suppliers stated concentrations. The results of the recalculations are presented below:

Pathfinder House - NO cylinder 115131D						
	NO <sub>x</sub> (ppb)	% change from stated	NO (ppb)	% change from stated		
Manufacturers Stated Concentration	469		469	-		
Recalculated Concentration (03/06/14)	440	-6.2	432	-7.8		
Recalculated Concentration (03/12/14)	427	-9.0	424	-9.6		
Recalculated Concentration (02/06/15)	414	-11.8	413	-12.0		
Recalculated Concentration (07/12/15)	413	-11.8	412	-12.1		
Recalculated Concentration (06/06/16)	428	-8.9	423	-9.8		

The latest June 2016 audit results indicate that the NO cylinder concentrations for the Pathfinder House monitoring station were within the audit pass criteria of ±10%. Previous results in December 2015 and June 2015 were just outside the audit pass criteria. The last 4 station audits all agree within 3% of each other, this shows evidence the cylinder concentrations are stable enough to reliably scale ambient data.

#### Thermo 5015i PM10 & PM2.8 analysers

To ensure that a true PM<sub>10</sub> measurement is made, the total flow through the sample inlet must be 16.7 litres per minute. Volumetric flow tests were carried out on the instrument. The measured flows showed good agreement with the system flow set points. To ensure that the analyser was sampling only ambient air, the instrument flow rates were also checked again with a flow restricting test adaptor. The aim here is to identify a leak in the system by comparing these restricted flow readings against the previously recorded unrestricted flow readings. No large discrepancy was found and the instrument was deemed as being free of major leaks.

#### Certificate of Calibration

Calibration factors and zeros have been produced on the basis of the audit calibrations conducted. All of these calibrations were conducted with transfer standards traceable to national metrology standards. The attached Certificate of Calibration provides the calibration and zero response factors for the oxides of nitrogen analysers under test on the day of the audits as well as the measured flows and calculated calibration constant for the particulate analysers.



#### DATA MANAGEMENT

The following recommendations and comments can be made as a result of these audits:

- Compare the Huntingdonshire District Council database scaling factors for the day of the audits with the factors
  and zeros on the Certificate of Calibration. If a deviation greater than the uncertainty of the respective factors
  on the Certificate exists, investigate the underlying reason and implement suitable data management actions.
- Consider the impact of the outlying NO<sub>x</sub> converter efficiency result at 96.6%. For data to be BS EN14211
  compliant it can be rescaled, provided any impact on data quality is accounted for in the rescaling process. It is
  the responsibility of the data ratification team to critically assess all evidence including calibrations, audits and
  equipment support unit reports to quantify this impact. We advised following the audit that you request that
  your equipment support unit attend for an immediate call out to investigate any underlying reasons for this
  outlier and to aim to get the converter within the recommended audit pass range.

If you have any questions relating to our audit results or wish to discuss any aspect of air pollution monitoring, please don't hesitate to contact me on 01235 753484 or 07968 707 588 <u>nick\_rand@ricardo.com</u>

Yours sincerely

N. Runk

Nick Rand Air Quality - Ricardo Energy and Environment www.airgualityengland.co.uk/ ee.ricardo.com

Figure C.6: AQMS service reports:

S Customer : [huntington Site Name: huntington Reason for visit:	Job Service	REPORT No: bf310516huntis 84 E Time Sta Time En	AirMc Ourry- art Date 31 nd Date t	May 16 01-Jun-16
Action Taken: Pre span and zero che serviced analyser rebuilt pump post service zero and For both 5014i flow check rebuilt pump cleaned pm10 head a post service flow calib	scked. span calibrated nd 2.5 cyclone. orated.			
	D	arte llead		
Model Used on:	Part No: (Must be completed )	Decoription:	Gty	Involce
	6k2888	pump kit	2	
	22 stroke	pump kit	1	
		o rings	2	├
		e ringe	~	
				<b> </b>
			+	
	Engineer: Visit Type:	Ben Freebrey Routine Service Complete site Inventory		

	Thermo NO)	ĸ	,		
Job Report No: bi Serial No:	310516huntington-servi 426608503	ce	Fault Message:		Ţ
	Pre Statistics		[	Post Statistics	]
Alarm 1			Alarm 1		I
Alarm 2			Alarm 2		
Amb Reading NO	6.1	ppb	Amb Reading NO	12.8	ppb
AmbReading NOx	15.4	ppb	AmbReading NOx	25.4	ppb
Sample flow Inst	0.597		Sample flow Inst	0.72	1
Sample flow Act	0.62		Sample flow Act	0.7	4
Cal Faot NO BKG	6.6		Cal Faot NO BKG	7	4
Cal faot NO COEF	0.821		Cal faot NO COEF	0.943	1
Cal faot NO2 COEF	1	_	Cal faot NO2 COEF	1	4
Cal Faot NOX BKG	7.2		Cal Faot NOX BKG	8.1	1
Cal faot NOX COEF	1.008		Cal faot NOX COEF	1.005	1
Pressure	243.7	mmHg	Pressure	190.1	mmHg
E			E		1
	(lick approp bo yes	x) no		(tick approp b yes	ox) no
MODEM	lights ON:				
DATA Logger O	perational:				
Completed site	inventory:				
AIR Sample Mant	fold Infaot:				
ZERO Air Gen	erator OK:				

Air Monitors Ltd - Unit 2 Bredon Court - Brockeridge Park - Twyning - Tewkesbury - Glos - GL20 6FF Tel: 01684 857530 Fax 01684 857538 Email: karen@airmonitors.co.uk Web: www.airmonitors.co.uk

Job Report No. In	1910516huninatos-senti	-	AIR MONITORS.	
Serial No:	is to stonarting to receive	Fault Message:		
	Pre Statistics		Post Statistics	
Alarm 1	n/a	Alarm 1		
Alarm 2	n/a	Alarm 2		
AmbReading PM	14.2	AmbReading PM		
Amb RH	100	Amb RH	100	
Sample RH	43.7	Sample RH	47.9	
Amb Tmp	8.8	Amb Tmp	8.9	
Sample Tmp	22.3	Sample Tmp	20.9	
Flow	16.68	Flow	16.67	
f low int	15.78	flow Int	16.7	
F		_		
F				
F				
F		-1 1		
	(tick approp bo y <del>es</del>	x) no	(tick approp box) yes no	
MODEM	(tick approp bo yes I lights ON:	x) Ino I	(tick approp box) yes no	]
MODEM DATA Logger 0	(tick approp bo yes I lights ON:	x) NO 	(tick approp box)	]
MODEM DATA Logger O Completed cite	(tick approp bo yes Hights ON:	x) NO 	(tick approp box) yes no	]
MODEM DATA Logger O Completed cite AIR Sample Mani	(tick approp bo yes I lights ON: Iperational: Inventory: Hold Intaot:	x) no 	(tick approp box) yes no C	

Air Monitors Ltd - Unit 2 Bredon Court - Brockeridge Park - Twyning - Tewkesbury - Gios - GL20 6FF Tel: 01684 857530 Fax 01684 857538 Email: karen@airmonitors.co.uk Web: www.airmonitors.co.uk

Thermo 5014 pm2.5

	Thermo 5014	4	AIR MONITORS
Job Report No: b Serial No:	1310516huntington-servic	Fault Mecsage:	
	Pre Statistics	] [	Post Statistics
Alarm 1		Alarm 1	
Alarm 2		Alarm 2	
AmbReading PM	12.4	AmbReading PM	100
Amb KH	100	Amb Kh	100
Amb Tmp	91	Amb Tmp	91
Sample Tmp	24,3	Sample Tmp	24.5
Vaouum	50.8	Vaouum	43.6
Flow	16.82	Flow	16.66
flow int	16.66		26
pump	25	-l	26
F			
F			
F			
Ē			
MODEM	(lick approp box yes I lights ON:	x)	(tick approp box) yes no
MODEM DATA Logger O	(tick approp box yes I lights ON:	x) 	(tick approp box) yes no
MODEM DATA Logger O Completed cite	(tick approp box yes I lights ON: iperational: inventory:	x) 	(tick approp box) yes no
MODEM DATA Logger O Completed cite AIR Sample Mani	(tick approp box yes I lights ON: )perational: ) inventory: Ifold Intaot:	x) no 	(tick approp box) yes no 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Air Monitors Ltd - Unit 2 Bredon Court - Brockeridge Park - Twyning - Tewkesbury - Glos - GL20 6FF Tel: 01684 857530 Fax 01684 857538 Email: karen@airmonitors.co.uk Web: www.airmonitors.co.uk

Thermo 5014 pm10



Customer : Site Name: HUNTINGD		REPORT	Star En Time Start Time End	AirMon Outry Se t Date 227 d Date 12:00	ktors.co.uk Wei Immedia
Reason for visit:	NOX REM	IOVAL			
Action Taken: PRES READS COMPLET PUMP -22 SPAN AND ZERO COM SAMPLE FLOW CHECK NOX AND PUMP REMO	TED. PLETED. ED 0.65 FROM SAI DVED TAKEN DOW	MPLE PORT /N TO THE OFFICE.			
	Part No: Officer be	arts Used			
Model Used on:	completed )	Decortption:		Qty	Involoe
	Engineer: Visit Type:	Igwe Igwike Callout/service Complete site Inventory	]		

с	alibra	NO ation	)x An /Line	alyse arity	er Rep	ort				AirMonitors.co.uk Gulte ibrite investor
420			I		Ser	rial No:	429608503			Report No. 122082018
	P	re-S	ervi	.ce/	Rep	air	Calib	atio	n	
							s	nan Se	urce D	etails
Result	N	0	N	02	N	ХC	]			
Gas	PPB	mV	PPB	mV	PPB	mV	]		NO	NO2
External Zero	0.2		0.2		0.4		]	Cyl. No:	D335356	
Injection of NO	340		51		392		]	Cyl. PSE		
Injection of NO2								yl. Conc:	459	
Result	N	0	N	02	N	ox	Extern	al Se	TO SOUT	re Details
Gas	PPB	mV	PPB	mV	PPB	mV	1		On Site ZA	a: 🖂
External Zero							1		Cylinder:	
Injection of NO							1		Scrubber:	
Injection of NO2							1			
NO NO2	Display	y (PPB)	Injecto	G d (NO)	DT C	(03)				0
NO							]		. –	
NOZ							]	Moly Ef	feciency	#DIV/0
Requested Span	Gas	<u>P</u> Type	ost Vout	<u>Serv</u>	Display	Line	earity	Cheo	<del>ck</del>	
200	N	0							Ι	
160	N	0							Į	
120	N	0							ł	
40	N	0							t	
0	ZERC	AIR							t	
						Bl	ender Bi Hig Hig	Detai ender Mod High Con h Conc. C h Conc. C Er	ils del / SN <sup>e</sup> c. Cyl N <sup>e</sup> cyl PSI cyl Cone Date:-	

Job Report No: Serial No:	Thermo NO) 1122082016 426608503	Fault Message:	AirMonitors.co.ul Delty - Invite - Interestion	I
	Pre Statistics		Post Statistics	
				+
Alarm 1		Alarm 1		Ţ
Alarm 2		Alarm 2		1
Amb Reading NO	16.8	ppb Amb Reading NO		ppb
AmbReading NOx	30.9	ppb AmbReading NOx		ppb
Sample flow Inst	0.697	Sample flow Inst		1
Sample flow Act	0.65	Sample flow Act		1
Cal Faot NO BKG	7.1	Cal Faot NO BKG		1
Cal faot NO COEF	0.943	Cal faot NO COEF		1
Cal faot NO2 COEF	1	Cal faot NO2 COEF		4
Cal Faot NOX BKG	8.2	Cal Faot NOX BKG		1
Cal faot NOX COEF	1.005	Cal faot NOX COEF		1
Pressure	200	mmHg Pressure		mmHg
PUMP	-22	_		4
				4
				4
L		[		
	(tick approp bo	ar)	(tick approp b	OK)
MODEM	ights ON:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	no
MODEM	lights ON:			
MODEM DATA Logger Op	yes lights ON:			
MODEM DATA Logger Op Completed site	yes lights ON:			
MODEM DATA Logger Op Completed site AIR Sample Manif	ilights ON:			

S	ERVICE	REPORT		AirMoni Duity - Se	kors.co.uk
Site Name: pathfinder I	house huntingdonshire	II24062016	En Time Start Time End	10:17 13:00	24-Aug-16
Reason for visit	Nox Reinst	allation			
Action Taken: installed 42c analyzer, post reads completed posts reads and gas sh	allowed to warm i	up connected pump re	ading -22.		
	P	arts Used			
Model Used on:	Part No: (Must be oompleted )	Description:	:	Qty	Involce
	Engineer: Visit Type:	Igwe Igwike Installation Complete site Invento	•		

L		Therm	no NO)	ĸ		AirMonitors.co.u	k
Job	Report No: Serial No:	124082 426608	016 503	3	Fault Message:	Quality - Service - Internation	I
		Pre Stat	istics			Post Statistics	]
	Alarm 1			7	Alarm 1		7
	Alarm 2				Alarm 2		+
AmbPr	ading NOx			ppb	AmbReading NO	33.6	- ppb
Samo	le flow Inst			-	Sample flow Inst	0.689	-
Same	ple flow Act			-	Sample flow Act	0.65	+
Cal Fa	ot NO BKG			-	Cal Fact NO BKG	7	1
Cal fac	t NO COEF			-	Cal faot NO COEF	0.943	1
Cal faot	NO2 COEF			-	Cal faot NO2 COEF	1	1
Cal Fao	t NOX BKG			7	Cal Faot NOX BKG	8.1	1
Cal faot	NOX COEF				Cal faot NOX COEF	1.005	T
	Pressure			mmHg	Pressure	200	mmHg
							1
	MODEM	(lick)	approp bo	x) no		(tick approp b yes	no no
D	ATA Logger O	perational:					
_	completed site	Inventory:					
c	Comprotion whee						
AIR	ample Mant	fold Intact:					



				A ->
S	ERVICE	REPORT	AirMon Dealty: 10	itors.co.uk
Customer : buntingdon	cc	No: bf81116buntine Start I	Date D8/	11/16
variation - Hanangoon		no. protrionalicity want		
Olia Mama- huntington		start I	ime Inte	08:30
ene warre. naningeon		End 1	Ime	11:30
Reason for visit:	NOX		·	
Additional Reason for				
visit:	Please se	elect		
pre zero and span che serviced analyser rebuilt pump post service span and bottle oxidized calibra both 5014 flow checked rebuilt pumps flow calibrated	cks zero calibrated ted percentage dif	ference to pre checks differe	nce	
	Pa	arts Used		
Model Used on:	Part No: (Must be completed )	Decortption:	Gty	Involoe
	8212	oring	2	
	4800	o ring small dfu	4	
	sk81744	pump kit	1	
	sk2888	pump kit	2	
Engineer:	Ben Freebrey	For Office Use Only:		
Engineer:	Ben Freebrey	For Office Use Only:		
Engineer: Visit Type: Routine	Ben Freebrey Service	For Office Use Only: TTS 4		
Engineer: Visit Type: Routine Complete alte Invent	Ben Freebrey : Service 💌	For Office Use Only: TTS 4 VDT A		



	Thermo 5014	4	AirMonitors.co.uk
Job Report No: b Serial No:	181116huntingdon-servic	Fault Message:	
	Pre Statistics	] [	Post Statistics
Alarm 1		Alarm 1	
Alarm 2		Alarm 2	
AmbReading PM	43	AmbReading PM	
Amb RH	100	Amb RH	30.9
	-14	Amb Tmo	0.5
Sample Tmp	16.3	Sample Tmp	16.2
Vaouum	47.3	Vaouum	26.3
Flow	15.64	Flow	16.7
F			
F		-l  -	
F		-1 -	
F		-1	
F		-1 F	
L		] [	
L			
Moden	(tick approp bo: yes f lights ON:	x)	(tick approp box) yes no
MODEN DATA Logger C	(tick approp box yes Il lights ON:	x) 	(tick approp box) yes no
MODEN DATA Logger C	(tick approp box yes I lights ON:	x) 	(tick approp box) yes no
MODEN DATA Logger C Completed site	(tick approp box yes I lights ON: Operational: s inventory: Hold Intaot:		(tick approp box) yec no
MODEM DATA Logger C Completed site AIR Sample Man ZERO AIr Ger	(tick approp box yes I lights ON: Operational: a inventory: ifoid intaot: inerator OK:		(tick approp box) yes no

Thermo 5014 (2.5)

Job Report No:       bit1115huntingdon-service         Serial No:       Pre Statistics         Pre Statistics       Post Statistics         Alarm 1       Alarm 1         Alarm 2       Alarm 1         AnabReading PM       37.5         AmbReading PM       37.5         AmbReading PM       300         Sample RH       26         AmbReading PM       31         AmbReading PM       31         Sample RH       26         Sample RH       26         Sample RH       26         Sample Tmp       19.5         Vasuum       54.5         Flow       15.78         Vasuum       54.5         Flow       15.7         Sample Tmp       18.5         Vasuum       54.5         Flow       15.7         Nooem       16.7         Sample Tmp       18.5         Vasuum       54.5         Flow       16.7         Sample Tmp       19.5         Vasuum       54.5         Flow       10.7         Sample Tmp       19.5         Vasuum       10.7         Sample Tm		Therm	no 501/	4		AirMonitors.co.uk	
Pre Statistics         Post Statistics           Alarm 1         Alarm 1         Alarm 1           Alarm 2         Alarm 1         Alarm 1           AmbReading PM         37.5         AmbReading PM           AmbReading PM         37.5         AmbReading PM           AmbReading PM         31         AmbReading PM           Sample RH         26         AmbReading PM           Amb Red 100         Sample RH         31           Sample Tmp         19.5         Sample Tmp           Vaouum         54.5         Flow           Flow         15.78         Flow           Vaouum         54.5         Flow           Flow         15.778         Flow           Vaouum         54.5         Flow           MODEM lights ON:	Job Report No: Serial No:	bf81116hunting	don-servic	œ	Fault Message:		I
Alarm 1       Alarm 1         Andrage       Alarm 2         AmbReading PM       37.5         Amb Red 100       Sample RH         Sample RH       26         Sample Tmp       19.5         Vasuum       54.5         Flow       16.7         Sample Tmp       18.5         Vasuum       57.6         Flow       16.7         Sample Tmp       18.5         Vasuum       47.6         Flow       16.7         MODEM lights ON:       9         MODEM lights ON:       9         O       9         MODEM cite inventory:       1         Completed site inventory:       1         AIR Sample Manifold Infaot:       1		Pre Stat	istics		[	Post Statistics	I
Alarm 2	Alarm 1				Alarm 1		Ι
AmbReading PM       37.5       AmbReading PM       Image: Completed site Inventory:       AmbReading PM       Image: Completed site Inventory:       Image: Completed site Inventory: <th>Alarm 2</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Alarm 2</th> <th></th> <th>Į –</th>	Alarm 2				Alarm 2		Į –
Amb RH       100       Amb RH       100         Sample RH       26       Amb Tmp       0.2         Amb Tmp       15.5       Sample Tmp       18.5         Vaouum       54.5       Vaouum       47.5         Flow       15.78       Flow       16.7	AmbReading PM	37.5		_	AmbReading PM		ļ
sample RH       31         Amb Tmp       0.2         Sample Tmp       19.5         Vaouum       54.5         Flow       15.78         Flow       15.78         Flow       15.78         Flow       16.7         Imp	Amb RH	100		-	Amb RH	100	ł
semple Tmp       19.5       Sample Tmp       18.5         Vsouum       54.5       Flow       47.5         Flow       15.73       Flow       16.7	sample RH	26		-	sample RH	31	ł
Vacuum       54.5         Flow       15.78         Image: straight ring       16.7         Flow       16.7         Image: straight ring       16.7         Flow       16.7         Image: straight ring       16.7         Image: straight ring       16.7         Flow       16.7         Image: straight ring       17.6	Amb Imp	-1.6		-	Amb Imp	10.5	ł
Flow       15.78         Image: Single state in the second s	Vaouum	54.5		-	Vaouum	47.6	ł
Image:	Flow	15.7	8	$\neg$	Flow	16.7	ł
(ick approp box)       (ick approp box)         yee       no         MODEM lights ON:       yee       no         DATA Logger Operationat:							t
(ick aprop box)       (ick approp box)         yes       no         MODEM lights ON:       9         DATA Logger Operationat:       9         Completed site inventory:       1         AIR Sample Manifold Intaot:       1         ZERO Air Generator OK:       1							I
(tick approp box) (tick approp box)   MODEM lights ON: yes   DATA Logger Operational: .   Completed site inventory: .   AIR Sample Manifold Infaot: .   ZERO AIr Generafor OK: .							Ι
(tick approp box) (tick approp box)   MODEM lights ON: yes   DATA Logger Operational: .   Completed site inventory: .   AIR Sample Manifold Infaot: .   ZERO AIr Generator OK: .					Ľ		Į
(bick approp box)   (bick approp box)   (bick approp box)   (bick approp box)   yes   NODEM lights ON:   yes   0   DATA Logger Operational:   0 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>_</th><th>L</th><th></th><th>ļ</th></t<>				_	L		ļ
(tick approp box) (tick approp box)   MODEM lights ON: 0   yes no   DATA Logger Operational: 0   Completed site inventory: 0   AIR Sample Manifold Intact: 0   ZERO Air Generator OK: 0				_	F		ļ
(lick approp box)       (lick approp box)         yes       no       yes       no         MODEM lights ON:       [] <td< th=""><th>I</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>L</th><th></th><th>L</th></td<>	I				L		L
MODEM lights ON:		(lick	approp bo yes	x) No		(tick approp bo yes	x) no
DATA Logger Operational:	MODE	M lights ON:					
Completed cite Inventory:	DATA Logger	Operational:					
AIR Sample Manifold Intaot:	Completed si	te inventory:					
ZERO Air Generator OK:	AIR Sample Ma	nifold Intaot:					
		enerator OK:					

Thermo 5014

	NOx Analyser Calibration/Linearity Report							
Model:	thermo			1		Ser	rial No:	426608503 Report No. b181116hu
		P	re-S	lerwi	ce/	Rep	air	Calibration
								Span Source Details
	Result	N	0	N	02	N	оx	]
	Gas	PPB	mV	PPB	mV	PPB	wV	NO NO2
	External Zero	0.1		0.3		0.4		Cyl. No: d335356
	Injection of NO	240		58		298		Cyl. PSE 1700
	Injection of NO2							Cyl. Conc: 459
	Result	N	0	N	02	N	ox	External Sero Source Details
	Gas	PPB	mV	PPB	mV	PPB	mV	On Sile ZAG:
	External Zero	0		0		0		Cylinder:
	Injection of NO	371		104		459		Scrubber:
	Injection of NO2							
	NO	Display	(PPB)	Injecto	G d (NO)	DT C	<b>heck</b> ed (03)	
	NO							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	NO2							Moly Effeciency #DIV/01
	Post Service Linearity Check							
	Point 200	N	0					
	160	N	0					
	120	N	0					
	80	N	0					<b>  </b>
	0	ZERC	AIR					+
	Blender Details Blender Model/SN High Conc. Cyl N High Conc. Cyl PSI High Conc. Cyl Conc Engineer:- Date:-							

# Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs

Figure D.1: Map indicating location of Automatic  $NO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  monitor:







Figure D.3: Close up of location of Automatic NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitor:



Please note - The AQMS can be seen in relation to the AQMA, on figure D5 as 'PFH'.

Louis Edeniel WHITTLESEY s Cliffe Cost Beryas A King's Delph Solar Form cit Flog S BEDFORD E Elto (MIDD EVEL Farcet Fe White Fevr Yaxk Whitfleset Mere The Herne Ben A1 16.44 Me Moor Stilton Ramsoy St Mary's Forty Fost Denten Glattor Ramsey Hollow RAMSEY, Tick Fen 17.00 × Great Bury station be AMAZEBEM Upwood Little Gidding High North Winte Fer Ste Warboys Gidding Solar Eau Great Reveloy **Fidley** Fen Little -Triche anth 97 STON Abbots Pidley ইঙ্গা 01d Weston onbury Little Buckworth Shikele Card Alco Colm ir a Barb c Leighton Rhuntisham 2 Catworth 1; О ST IVES Needingwor glord Stow Helversil Covingtor Abbots LEDICOR moto 1 ODMANCHESTER A14 Kimbolt 76 9 A Offord Chany Hilton Office D'Are Perry Paperson St. Adv n neat) Little Staug Riseley 'n Lo Papworth Little Tes Bar Hill Yellin Ory Drayto Hall We NEOTS Keys Row 1 18 Bointwist Distoy 84 Gentler Great Gransde Duck Boart ۶ ton Emiest loft Little 面 Non automatic monitoring sites (NO2) Huntingdonshire N DISTRICT COUNCIL Scale = 1:180,000 A © Crown copyright and database rights 2016 Ordnance Survey HDC 100022322 Date Created: 15/06/2016

Figure D.4: Map indicating location of non automatic (Diffusion Tube) NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring locations:



## Figure D.5: Huntingdon AQMA Diffusion Tube NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring locations:



## Figure D.6: St Neots AQMA Diffusion Tube NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring locations:



## Figure D.7: A14 Fenstanton AQMA Diffusion Tube NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring locations:



Figure D.8: Brampton AQMA Diffusion Tube NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring locations:

# Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

## Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective <sup>4</sup>						
Fonutant	Concentration	Measured as					
Nitrogen Dioxide	200 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean					
$(NO_2)$	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean					
Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean					
	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean					
	350 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean					
Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	125 μg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean					
	266 µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The units are in micrograms of pollutant per cubic metre of air ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

## **Glossary of Terms**

Abbreviation	Description
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan - A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values'
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives
AQMS	Air Quality Monitoring Station
ASR	Air quality Annual Status Report
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DMRB	Design Manual for Roads and Bridges – Air quality screening tool produced by Highways England
EU	European Union
FDMS	Filter Dynamics Measurement System
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Dioxide
NO <sub>x</sub>	Nitrogen Oxides
PM <sub>10</sub>	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10µm (micrometres or microns) or less
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulphur Dioxide
## Huntingdonshire District Council