

# Matter 11 – Proposed site allocations

## Local Service Centres

Response on behalf of Bellway Homes and Henry H Bletsoe & Son LLP (Representor ID:1117482)

---

### Flood risk

**7) How is the site affected by flood risk? How has this been taken into account in allocating the site? Have the sequential and, if necessary, exception tests been applied?**

#### Response

- 1.1.1 In paragraphs 7.1-7.4 (pages 12-13) of Huntingdonshire District Council's response to Matter 3, I note that in HDC's opinion the sequential test has been applied correctly and is in accordance with national policy and guidance. However, the sequential test has not been applied correctly for the reasons set out in our response to Matter 3. HDC's approach conflicts with the requirements of NPP101, NPPG019, NPPG021 (incl. Diagram 2) and NPPG022. Fundamentally, the justification for not meeting the FOAHN in Flood Zone 1 (also considering other forms of flooding) has not been set out, in clear conflict with the strict test in NPP101 (*"Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding"*).
- 1.1.2 The sequential test and supporting SA should have rigorously tested the opportunity to allocate land in Zone 1 and less affected by other forms of flooding. District-wide there are 9 sites, covering a total of 874ha of land, which pass the sequential test but have been failed for 'non-flooding' reasons without justification. This is the essential first stage and necessary to meet the strict test in NPP101. Bellway Homes' site at Dexter's Farm, Godmanchester, is one such sequentially preferable opportunity that needs to be properly tested as a reasonably available alternative (representations to the submitted plan clearly set out the potential of this landholding, including development principles document, landscape strategy and transport appraisal). There is no justification to why perceived landscape issues would override NPP101.
- 1.1.3 For the Local Service Centres, one of the draft allocations is significantly affected by flood risk, as listed in Table A, when sequentially preferable and 'reasonably available' alternative sites have been discounted for non-flooding reasons.

Table 1 Extent of flood risk on allocated sites in the Local Service Centres

Site	Extent of flood risk & comments
BL2 – North of 10 Station Road (30 dwellings)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Environment Agency mapping shows clear risks of surface water flooding (Appendix 23 to representations made to the submitted plan). Surface water flood risk should have been considered as part of the sequential test to assess <u>all sources</u>, including surface water flooding (a point which the EA have also raised in response to Matter 3).</li> </ul>

**David Fovargue, MRTPI (Technical Director, Wood plc)**

**Word count: 406**

### Copyright and non-disclosure notice

The contents and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Wood (© Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited 2018) save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned by us to another party or is used by Wood under licence. To the extent that we own the copyright in this report, it may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than the purpose indicated in this report. The methodology (if any) contained in this report is provided to you in confidence and must not be disclosed or copied to third parties without the prior written agreement of Wood. Disclosure of that information may constitute an actionable breach of confidence or may otherwise prejudice our commercial interests. Any third party who obtains access to this report by any means will, in any event, be subject to the Third Party Disclaimer set out below.

### Third party disclaimer

Any disclosure of this report to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Wood at the instruction of, and for use by, our client named on the front of the report. It does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Wood excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage howsoever arising from reliance on the contents of this report. We do not however exclude our liability (if any) for personal injury or death resulting from our negligence, for fraud or any other matter in relation to which we cannot legally exclude liability.

### Management systems

This document has been produced by Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions UK Limited in full compliance with the management systems, which have been certified to ISO 9001, ISO 14001 and OHSAS 18001 by LRQA.